

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

TERRANCE DEWAN MORTON, SR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CVS CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:15-cv-02417-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and  
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

On February 29, 2016, Magistrate Judge Koppe entered her Report and Recommendation recommending dismissal of Plaintiff's complaint. (Dkt. #7.) No objection has been filed to that Report and Recommendation. Thus, I am not required to conduct "any review at all ... of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). The Ninth Circuit has confirmed that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objection has been filed. *See United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) ("[T]he district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise."); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D.Ariz. 2003) (Based on *Thomas* and *Reyna-Tapia*, "district courts are not required to conduct any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Because there is no objection to Judge Koppe's recommendation, I may accept the recommendation without review.

Nevertheless, I have reviewed the issues set forth in the Report and Recommendation. Judge Koppe's Report and Recommendation sets forth the proper legal analysis, and the factual basis, for the decision. Therefore,

////

////

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation is accepted, and this  
2 case is DISMISSED. The clerk of the court shall enter Judgment accordingly.

3 Dated: March 17, 2016.

4 

5 \_\_\_\_\_  
6 ANDREW P. GORDON  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28